







## Jeremy and the Baby Killers

‘Gas the Jews’. Chant outside the Sydney Opera House, October, 9, 2023.

On March 28, 2001, Jeremy Corbyn voted against the bill proscribing Hamas as terrorist organisation. In March 2009, addressing a meeting at Westminster, Corbyn described this internationally banned terrorist movement as his ‘friends’, ‘dedicated to bringing about long term peace’ a movement which at that time, was already known to have been responsible over the previous ten or so years for the deaths of more than one thousand Israeli Jewish civilians.’ On the morning of October 7, 2023 Corbyn’s ‘friends’ launched their fifth and by far largest terrorist attack against Israel, firing 5,000 rockets into civilian areas, a war crime, as more than two thousand well-armed and trained Hamas fighters occupied settlements, shooting and burning alive men, women, children and babies, raping both living and dead women, beheading even babies, and kidnaping civilians, with 230 being deported to Gaza as hostages, also a war crime. Later that same day, the Manchester branch of Corbyn’s Palestine Solidarity Campaign described the massacre as a ‘heroic move’ carried out by ‘brave fighters’, by its Scottish counterpart as ‘absolutely amazing’, and by a London-based Muslim broadcaster with four million subscribers, Motaz Matar, as ‘a day filled with pride and glory.’

Also on October 7, at 11.43 AM, Corbyn confidante Elleanne Green, chief administrator and founder of the secret anti-Semitic Palestine Live website (see Appendix T) posted six images of Hamas invaders bursting into southern Israel, with the caption, ‘Gaza Broke the Siege.’ Yet again on the same day, at 2.30 PM, no doubt alerted to the Hamas pogrom in southern Israel, Corbyn’s Stop [sic] the War Coalition posted on its website the following announcement:

‘Emergency Demo: Stand With Palestine End Apartheid. Join us on Monday when we take to the streets to demand that Israel end its violent imposition of a system of occupation, apartheid and colonisation over the Palestinian people. When? October 9, 6 PM. Where? Israeli Embassy, W8 4QB.’

There was no ‘emergency’ concerning Israel’s ‘occupation, apartheid and colonisation over the Palestinian people’, all three being constant themes of Coalition anti-Zionist campaigning going back more than a decade. The real ‘emergency’, though for obvious reasons, the website could not say so, was the need to divert attention from the Hamas massacre of Jews still raging in southern Israel. What better way to do it than to announce a rally outside the embassy of the country whose civilians were being slaughtered, not because they were guilty of any of the alleged crimes listed above, but simply because they were Jews. And the stratagem continued to work to perfection, partly thanks to the mis-reporting, some of it of intentional, by the media. As a result, save for Jews, no one marched for Israel. But hundreds of thousands did for Corbyn’s ‘friends’, the baby-killers of Hamas. And far from all of them were Muslims.

This time, not over years, but in a matter of hours, the total number of Jews murdered by Corbyn’s ‘friends’ exceeded 1,400. It was also 15 times more than the 91 Jews killed by the Nazis in the *Kristallnacht* pogrom of November 9-10, 1938. Seven days after the Hamas pogrom, on October 14, Corbyn himself spoke

to a massive pro-Hamas rally in Central London. He accused, not Hamas, but Israel, of committing unspecified 'war crimes' and conducting 'an assault on the people of Gaza and the people of the West Bank.' There had been no 'assault' 'on the people of the West Bank', and no 'assault on the people of Gaza' either, but targeted air attacks on the Hamas military. But there had been a genocidal assault on the Jews of Israel, about which Corbyn said nothing. Instead, he continued: 'You must [sic] condemn what is happening now in Gaza by the Israeli army.' (Nothing was 'happening now in Gaza' because the Israeli army was not 'in Gaza'.) As on previous occasions, the rally's proceedings were accompanied by the Boycott, Disinvestment and Sanctions chant, 'From the river [Jordan] to the [Mediterranean] sea, Palestine shall be free.'

These tragic as well despicable events unfolded partly because, eight days previously, Netanyahu's coalition had been taken totally unawares by the Hamas onslaught. Dependent for its survival on ultra-orthodox fanatics, some of whom, only hours earlier, had been spitting on Christians and illegally enforcing gender segregation in public places, it had been entirely focused on conducting a vendetta against Israel's secularism and the independence of its legal institutions. Before the day was out, Israel had declared war on Hamas, and most of Israel's parties had announced their intention to form a national coalition for its duration, a war not against secularism, but Israel's real and only enemy, Jihadi Islam.

While Labour Leader Keir Starmer's response was immediate and totally supportive of Israel's right to defend itself from what was in effect an act of war, in view of her past vitriolic anti-Israeli statements, it should have been endorsed by Labour's Shadow Minister for Crime Reduction (sic), Naz Shah, because she, like other prominent Muslims, had accused Israeli Jews of deliberately killing Palestinian babies. Instead, there was silence, while in both the UK and across the world, her co-religionists took to the streets in support of the Hamas baby-killers. In Sydney, they were videoed chanting, as they did in 2014, 'gas the Jews'. In the UK, there was a *seven-fold* increase in anti-Semitic incidents, while in London, it was double that amount, with Jews having to hide their identity in public. In central London, Muslims waving Palestinian flags celebrated the Hamas pogrom, as did Corbyn's 'The World Transformed' at a rally in Liverpool, with a speech by a Muslim woman saluting Hamas martyrs who were heading for paradise, their now eternal erections at the ready. Also in London, on October 9, Corbyn's Palestine Solidarity Campaign and Stop [sic] the War Coalition staged their 'emergency' pro-Hamas march and then rally outside the Israeli embassy, the embassy of a country that only a matters of hours previously, had lost more than one thousand of its citizens in a pogrom perpetrated by the movement these demonstrators were applauding. It is impossible to imagine anything more obscene. Anyone not appraised of the true situation in Israel would have quite reasonably assumed that this unprecedented global wave of hatred against the Jews, with identical such rallies from Ireland to Australia, was in response to unspeakable Israeli war crimes committed against the people of Gaza.

The *dhimmi* SWP's *Socialist Worker* was in a state of ecstasy at the ongoing pogrom in southern Israel, with its Orwellian 'Palestine fighters have launched resistance attacks [sic- how's that for a oxymoron?] on Israeli towns cities and settlements. And they have won huge [sic] military gains.' As for Hamas atrocities, 'the Palestinian people have the right to respond in any [sic!!!] way they choose to the violence that the Israeli state metes out to them every day.' But

Gaza, from whence came the Hamas invaders, was not under Israeli rule, which ended in 2005, but that of Hamas, which began in 2006. And did 'any way they choose' include rape, massacring young Jewish men and women at a dance festival (see below) decapitating even babies, burning people alive, kidnapping civilians, including children, to be used, again, illegally, as hostages and human shields, and triumphantly parading the naked body of a murdered Jewess through the streets of Gaza city? We must assume from this unequivocal statement that it did. So what happened to the rules of war that Israel is always accused of violating when it responds, legally, under international law, to Hamas attacks?

On October 12, confronted with evidence of one of those choices, the *Socialist Worker*, like the far left generally, engaged in atrocity denial, with the claim that 'the media had lied about beheaded babies to justify Israeli [sic] war crimes'. Two days later, the *Jerusalem Post* confirmed that photos of this atrocity had been shown to US Secretary of State Antony Blinken during his visit to Israel on October 12 and that 'later in the day, the photos were published by the Prime Minister's office on Twitter.' Denial was not the monopoly of the far left. Muslim Birmingham City Liberal Democrat Councillor Ayoub Khan said he had a 'problem of credibility' with the accounts of the October 7 massacres. 'There is something called the big lie theory...it was used by the Nazis and others'...for example, those Muslims and far leftists who claimed that Israel, and not Islamic Jihad, fired the missile that landed in the car park of the Gaza City Hospital on October 17.

[A necessary interpolation. By way of a change, on October 17 and 18, the *Socialist Worker* engaged not in atrocity denial, but 'fake news', ironically, together nearly all 'capitalist' media, by reporting an Israeli atrocity that never happened. A rocket had landed on a car park close to the Al Ahli hospital in Gaza City on the evening of October 17, burning cars close to a small crater, but without removing leaves on nearby trees. In a matter of minutes, the Hamas lie machine went into overdrive, with the claim that Israel had killed at least 500 hospital patients and staff (if so, what were they doing in a car park?), thereby unleashing, as was for sure intended, massive anti-Israel demonstrations across the Arab world and beyond. That same day, *Socialist Worker* also accused Israel of having 'murdered at least 500 people at the al Ahil Baptist hospital', which was 'filled with the sick, the dying, the young and the old'. One would have assumed from its detailed description of the scene in the hospital that the reporter had been an eye-witness to the tragedy and the sufferings of its victims, and had even been able calculate their approximate number. The reality was, of course, somewhat different. So we can safely assume that the *Socialist Worker* had no on the spot reporter in Gaza City, any more than did the BBC, its story, again like the BBC's, consisting of recycled Hamas propaganda.

When, the next day, western media, including the BBC, began to express doubts as to Israel culpability, the SWP stuck by its fabricated account. Without being able to provide an image of a bombed hospital, or any other evidence to substantiate its allegations, the *Socialist Worker* accused Israel of 'trying to pass the buck for its murder of 500 people.' When confronted with accumulating evidence from a wide variety of (non-Israeli) sources that the missile had been (mis) fired by Islamic Jihad, and the number of its victims could be as low as 15, without any explanation, the story went dead and was removed from its website.

After October 18, there were no more claims by the *Socialist Worker* of an Israeli 'murder of 500 people'. Russia had been no less quick of the mark, with Deputy Head of the Russian Security Council, Dmitry Medvedev, describing the alleged Israeli missile attack as a 'monstrous war crime' for which 'the US is responsible', while Russian Foreign Ministry spokeswoman Maria Zakharova called it 'an act of dehumanisation', something her own government specialised in. Corbyn's Stop the War Coalition re-cycled the same lie: 'The Al Ahli hospital massacre is an atrocious war crime. [Correct] It is further proof [sic] of the barbarity of what is now an Israeli policy of ethnic cleansing.' Like the *Socialist Worker's*, this story was quickly cast into the far left's memory hole without any explanation, because 'proof', or at the very least, evidence, released by a number of intelligence agencies and published on YouTube, told a very different story. There, one could clearly see the trajectory of a rocket launched from near the hospital, itself a war crime, which then crashed moments later into the hospital car park, followed by a huge sheet of flame as its unused fuel ignited on impact, leaving a crater in the car park less than a metre deep - far too small to have been caused by an Israeli missile. Also on YouTube, an Islamic Jihad operative could be heard shortly after the explosion admitting that it had been caused by one of their rockets launched from Gaza City:

'I'm telling you this is the first time that we see a missile like this falling, and so that is why we [sic] are saying it belong to the Palestinian Islamic Jihad.

'What?

'They are saying it belongs to Palestinian Islamic Jihad.'

'It's from us?'

'It looks like it!'

'Who says this?'

'They are saying that the shrapnel from the missile is local shrapnel and not like Israeli shrapnel.'

'What are you saying?'

Shortly after the incident, a BBC reporter, speaking from the PA capital, Ramallah, 51 kilometres distant from Gaza City, and therefore not a witness to what occurred, said 'it is hard to see what else this could be, given the size of the explosion [which he never saw] other than an Israeli air strike or several [sic!] air strikes.' As every journalist should know, especially those employed by a publicly funded news service such as the BBC's, the first duty of a reporter is to check and then double check the facts that underpin the story before going public. How come then that with this story, which impacted directly millions of people's lives and could easily have put Jewish ones in jeopardy, this elementary procedure, as in other similar instances, was blatantly not followed? I will give the reader what I believe is the correct answer, based not just this one outrageous case, but others I have described in this work. Such pseudo reports are tailored to conform to a certain 'narrative', one that is deeply and consistently hostile not only to Israel, but to Jews as such. There is ample proof of this, and I am far from being the only one who has registered it. Having jumped the gun by accepting the Hamas version of the incident, as had other prestigious media, for example, the *Guardian*, *New York Times*, CNN and even Reuters, the BBC desperately back-peddled the next day, after it became clear that the Hamas version was, at the very

least, suspect. When the BBC consulted a number of experts on such events, something it should have done before making any comments or claims, in the light of all the available evidence, not one believed that the missile had been fired by Israel. On October 23, without explaining why, the *New York Times* admitted that it had 'relied too heavily on claims by Hamas, and did not make clear those claims could not be verified.' 'Too heavily'? It should not have relied upon them at all. ITV also had the decency, unlike the BBC, to apologise for its use of stories emanating from at the very least, dubious sources.

One such was the London based Palestinian, Latifa Abouchakra, employed by the same Iranian channel that from 2009 to 2012, also employed the services, at the rate of £1,250.00 per hour, of Jeremy Corbyn, Press TV. Ms. Abouchakra had given an interview to ITV on the subject of Islamophobia, claiming she had been abused as a Muslim as a result of the Hamas-Israel war. It was not the subject, but the choice of Ms Abouchakra to interview about it, that was the issue. It later transpired that on Press TV, she had saluted the October 7 pogrom as, quote 'a homecoming': 'As fragile as a spider's web, the Zionist entity [JihadSpeak for Israel] is shaking with fear. In an early morning shock, Palestinian resistance [sic] factions from Gaza launched a three-pronged attack [thus, a 'resistance attack'] on the entity. What ensued was the homecoming [sic] of at least 1,000 Palestinians [all born in Gaza, more than likely, and certainly not in Israel]...over one hundred settlers [sic] have been taken as prisoners of war [not hostages] by the resistance - the vast majority are members of the occupation forces.' Another lie. Quite rightly, the Jewish Board of Deputies accused ITV News of 'a staggering failure of due diligence' in not checking Ms. Abouchakra's profile before inviting her to be interviewed. The question arises yet again - why does this keep happening only when the story has a Jewish dimension, and when the 'spin' always puts the Jews in a bad light?

Now to resume the main narrative.]

While, in the wake of the October 7 pogrom there were massive world-wide demonstration in support of the Hamas baby-killers, with many involving large numbers of non-Muslims (including Black Lives Matter) there were none on behalf of their victims involving similar numbers of non-Jews. On October 21, to chants of '*Jihad*', 150,000 Muslims and infidels marched in London against Israel. The next day, barely 10,000, nearly all Jews, attended a rally in Trafalgar Square to demand, not death to the Palestinians, but the release of all the Jewish hostages held by Hamas. Once again, as so often in their past, at a time when they most needed the sympathy and solidarity of others, Jews found themselves virtually alone.

That millions across the world had taken to the streets to protest against the illegal (but unintentional) killing of one person, George Floyd, but, apart from Jews, scarcely anyone did so in protest against the preplanned ritual slaughter of 1,400 Israeli civilians, spoke volumes for the double standards of the anti-racist industry, just as did Corbyn's refusal to condemn the Hamas invasion of Israel for his highly selective peace campaigning. Here, yet again, we were witnesses to the so-called left's bogus version of solidarity. As on this occasion, it will mobilise in its hundreds of thousands on behalf of any number of causes, some spurious, others genuine; trans, gay, black, Muslim, anti-Zionist, women - unless they are



victims of Muslim gang rape - and of course, against the Jews of Israel...but never for them. Why this sudden welling up of a global, atavistic hatred of Jews, one that not only comes from Muslims and neo Nazis, but now, as never before, from the left? It is because the atrocities committed by Hamas on Israeli Jews did not conform to and therefore sustain the prevailing 'narrative' of the Jews as the permanent oppressors of the Palestinians, just as the Nazis depicted them, even at the height of the Holocaust, as the eternal exploiters of all humanity. The false narrative of Jews as victims of Hamas had to be erased, blanked out, 1984 fashion, by a massive media mobilisation, beginning the same day, against a people that had suffered its worst atrocity since the Holocaust, a global, staged-managed and orchestrated so-called 'punching up' that targeted the Jews as the real genocidists. Whatever it took, and with few exceptions, the left-inclined, so-called 'quality' media were only too willing to help, the prevailing agenda had to be reset. At all costs, because the fate of the anti-Zionist cause was at stake, the Jews had to be portrayed yet again as the guilty party. The opportunity came when an Islamic Jihad rocket landed in the car park of a Gaza City hospital.

The obsession with Jews being by their nature oppressors, the core belief of the Nazis from which all their crimes flowed, has in the past led, as we have seen, to a re-casting by the far left and Muslims of the history of the Holocaust, becoming, in the western version, one in which Zionists collaborated with the Nazis in the murder of non-Zionist Jews to further their goal of a Jewish state in the British Mandate; and in the Muslim and Arab version, instead of a Nazi Holocaust of the Jews, a Zionist one of the Palestinians. In the same spirit and with same objective, we have seen attempts by Corbyn and others of a like anti-Jewish disposition to abolish Holocaust Memorial Day, on the grounds that it was not a unique event, and replace it with a Genocide Memorial Day, one of whose genocides would be that of Israel's of the Palestinians. In October 2023, one could see unfolding on the streets and in the world's media, virtually unchallenged, a similar Orwellian exercise, one in which once again, truth became lies, and lies, the truth.

This enduring and now heightened hostility towards the Jews was reflected in a number of international reactions to the Hamas onslaught on Israel. PA President Mahmoud Abbas, with whom Israel had to negotiate the so-called two state, said that 'Palestinians have the right to defend themselves'...by launching an all-out, genocidal war against Israel. Palestinians had the right to 'punch up' but not, it would seem, the Jews, even when they were the target of a pogrom. In a cynical attempt to justify the massacre of October 7, UN General Secretary Antonio Guterres said it 'did not happen in a vacuum'. Indeed it did not. It 'happened' at a dance festival, in a kibbutz, and in people's homes.

Other international bodies, politicians, and a number of western media outlets, as on previous occasions when it had been the target of unprovoked Hamas attacks, called upon Israel to show 'restraint' and to ensure that its military response was 'proportionate'. 'Proportionate' to what exactly? Did this mean that Israel had to limit the size of its projected invasion force to the number of Hamas terrorists who participated the attack of October 7? No other country had been called upon to respond in this way when coming under a military attack. And no other country would have done so if it had been. So why should have Israel? If, in the Second World War, the Allies had complied with such a demand, then they would have lost it.

There were protests, mostly from prominent Jews, when the BBC defended its describing of Hamas terrorists as ‘militants’, which it had not done on previous occasions when the victims of a terrorist attack had not been Jews. Also typical of its supposedly neutral reportage was its comment that the intensity of the ‘unprecedented’ violence was due to the ‘scale and ferocity of attacks on both [sic] sides’ Six of its Arab service journalists went further, tweeting that the Hamas attack on Israel had been ‘a day of hope.’ The *Guardian*, the printed version of the BBC, was no better. When it came to reporting the (falsely) alleged Israeli missile strike on the Gaza City hospital on October 17, even after clear evidence had emerged that Hamas, or rather, Islamic Jihad, was the guilty party, the *Guardian* was still playing it down the middle, careful not to attribute the incident to either Israel or Hamas, but still endorsing the Hamas claim there had been ‘hundreds of deaths of Palestinians in a blast at the Al Ahil hospital’. No sources were cited for this claim, or any evidence provided that supported it. The only images that had been seen of the effects of the ‘blast’ (and which *Guardian*, wisely, did not show, since they contradicted the supposed death toll) had been of a small crater in a car park, some burned cars, broken windows of an adjacent building, and trees that had not shed their leaves, despite the impact of a ‘blast’ being of a scale that the *Guardian* had said killed ‘hundreds’ of Palestinians.

If images had existed of a hospital sufficiently damaged to account for the death of ‘hundreds’ of its occupants, Hamas would have dispatched them around the world in a matter of minutes. Instead, all we were shown of the impact of the ‘blast’ was, to repeat, a car park with a small crater, some burned-out cars and trees with leaves. That there were no images of at least a partially demolished hospital should have rung alarm bells in the editorial offices of the BBC and the *Guardian*, as it should have in other media outlets that chose to accept the Hamas ‘narrative’ rather than wait for the evidence as to what really happened.

It came on October 20, when the *Jerusalem Post* reported that a number of (non-Israeli) evaluations of the effect of the rocket blast estimated the number of fatalities to be in the region of 15, not 471, as claimed by Hamas, ‘500’ by the SWP, or ‘hundreds, as claimed by the *Guardian*. And they were killed in the car park, which would explain why there were no images of a bomb-damaged hospital.

Meanwhile, on the global scene, the UN’s Security Council yet again failed to live up to its name, being unable to approve and act on a resolution condemning Hamas for its invasion of Israel because it was vetoed by Russia, just as it had the resolution condemning its invasion of Ukraine. For good measure, Putin accused Israel of ‘turning Gaza into a concentration camp’, something that as a former KGB officer, Putin would have been familiar with, since Stalin did the same to his own country. UN Human Rights Council Special Rapporteur for the Palestine-Israel conflict, Francesca Albanese, followed Putin’s lead, accusing Israel of ‘militarised settler-colonial occupation’.

However, so far as individual (mainly western) countries were concerned, most reactions were supportive of Israel, even if not in every case explicitly endorsing its legal right to defend itself from an unprovoked invasion. One which did also happened to be the most commendable, that of Ukraine’s President Zelenskyy, whose country in its hours of desperate need had been treated shabbily not only by the likes of Corbyn, but by the Netanyahu administration and its centre-left predecessor. For fear of antagonising Putin, both had refused to afford

any military assistance to Ukraine (ironically the very same policy advocated by the anti-Zionist Corbyn), confining themselves to voting for UN resolutions condemning Russia's invasion and providing medical supplies. Typical of this stance was the lack of any condemnation when, a matter of days before the Hamas invasion, a Russian missile strike on a Ukrainian village left 52 civilians dead. With some justice then, Zelenskyy could have used Israel's predicament as an opportunity to remind its politicians of how they had stood aside when his country came under a far more devastating attack. But he did not. This is what he did say: 'Israel's right to defence is indisputable. Terror is always a crime, not just against any one country or specific victims, but against humanity as a whole. The world must stand united, so that terror does not attempt to take or destroy life anywhere at any moment.'

The European Union, which for years, if not decades, had, unintentionally but knowingly, funded Palestinian terrorism, initially announced that it would 'immediately suspend' all payments to the Palestinian Authority. But after protests by some member states, including Spain and Ireland, it no less immediately backtracked. Germany, to its credit, did cease all funding to the Authority. Like some members of the EU, there were others in the rest of the world who were not united in support of Israel, just as there had been those who had not supported Ukraine's resistance to Putin's invasion. Iran, supplier of drones to Putin and rockets to Hamas, was one of several countries that fitted both descriptions: 'We kiss the hands of those who planned the attack on the Zionist regime', said Corbyn's former employer, Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei. Hamas Commander Mohammed Deif was specific as to the methods: 'Whoever does not have a gun, let him bring out a knife, a cleaver, an axe. Carry out your attacks on the settlements [that is, Jewish civilians] with all the means and tools at your disposal.' Yet according to Hamas spokesman Basem Naim, 'We have not killed any civilians'. With the number of Jewish corpses discovered growing by the hour, many of them burned and mutilated, Hamas was providing incontestable confirmation of the description by their 'friend' Corbyn that it was a movement 'dedicated to long term peace and social justice in the whole region', a region which the Hamas invasion, like the BDS chant, had made all too clear, included the state of Israel.

Article Seven of the Hamas Covenant of 1988, (which, I was assured by a Corbyn cultist, had long ago been rescinded), cites the *hadith* (a saying of the prophet) which sanctioned the pogrom Hamas unleashed on October 7, 2023. Titled 'The Slogan of the Islamic Resistance [sic] movement', Article Seven says:

'Allah's apostle said, "The day of judgment will not come until Muslims fight the Jews, killing the Jews, when the Jew will hide behind stone and trees. The stones and trees will say, 'O Muslims, O Abdullah, there is a Jew behind you, come and kill him.'" (Sahih al Bukhari 2926, Book 56, Hadith 139) As for decapitation, it is sanctioned, not by a *hadith*, but the *Koran*: 'I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Smite them above the necks, and smite off all finger tips.(Chapter 8, Verse 12)

Motivated purely by theology, the Hamas invasion of Israel therefore had nothing to with a so-called liberation of Palestine, all the more so with one part of it already being under Hamas rule,. Those supporting the invasion no less than

those who carried it out must have known that once confronted with the vastly superior numbers and equipment of the Israeli military, within a matter of days, if not hours, they would either all be captured or, far more likely, as it proved, killed. Theirs was not a war of 'liberation', but a classic Jihadi suicide mission no different to 9/11, in this case, not to kill the maximum number of American civilians, but to unleash a pogrom, to murder as many Jewish civilians as possible before being dispatched, since it merits repeating, to paradise, eternal erections at the ready. Although he did not say so in his speech to the pro-Hamas rally of October 14, I am prepared to consider the possibility that Corbyn did not approve of 'cutting above the necks' of Jewish babies, unlike a goodly part of his Muslim audience, who were baying for more Jewish blood. And some might argue, how could he have done otherwise? They would point to the fact that even if all those present at the rally had been as aware as Corbyn that as many as 40 babies had been slaughtered, some in the sight of their parents before they too were dispatched, this would not have detracted from their support for Hamas, while Corbyn denouncing it as a war crime would have done no good for his reputation as an implacable enemy of Israel. And anyway, the purpose of the rally was not to condemn such and other war crimes, however abominable, but to salute and celebrate the movement that had committed them. This reality therefore required, so the argument might have gone, at the very least, Corbyn's tacit acquiescence in the deed. And, as so often in his sordid, to put it as politely as one can, political career, whatever his motive, that is what they got.

Below is a young Israeli woman's account of the religion of peace massacre at the Supera Nova dance music festival on the evening of October 7, the first day of the invasion. It was billed as a festival of 'friends, love, and infinite freedom'. It ended with a pogrom. She was the only survivor. Following the belated arrival of an Israeli security force, 260 bodies were discovered, some mutilated.

'They were all over the place with automatic weapons. They were standing next to cars starting to shoot but I realised it was very easy to get killed because everyone was going everywhere. The terrorists [it is BB policy not to use the word, unless, as here, it is quoted speech.] were coming from four or five places so we didn't know whether to go here, so then I got into my car again and drove a little bit more. Some people were shooting at me. I left the car and started to run. I saw a place with many pomelo trees and I went there. So I was in the middle of this field and I was lying on the floor. It was the second hiding place I found and they were all around me. They were going tree by tree and shooting. Everywhere. From two sides. I saw people were dying all around. I was very quiet. I didn't cry I didn't do anything. But I was on the one hand breathing, saying, "OK I'm going to die. It's OK, just breathe, close your eyes" because it was shooting everywhere, it was very close to me. Then I hear the terrorist open a big van and get more weapons from this car. They were in the area for three hours. No one was there, no one. Just all there terrorists. They were very close to me and my leg was shaking. I tried and did my best, I moved a little bit and when they were in this side I heard them talking Arabic.'

On October 20, 2013, the BBC website carried a report on the recovery of corpses of Jews murdered in the Hamas pogrom of October 7:

‘Recovery teams on Wednesday [October 18] pulled a woman’s body from the rubble in Kibbutz Be-eri. She was naked, her feet bound with metal wire. One of the teams said the bodies of more than 20 children had been found nearby, tied together and burned...At one centre, we were shown more than a dozen shipping containers their shelves stacked with body bags of all different sizes. [One staff officer told the reporter]: “I didn’t see one body that had been shot just once. In each body, I saw abuses and torture, and so many cuts, so many gunshots, so many bruises to the head and to the limbs.”’

On October 23, more than 100 journalists from across the world, some of them war reporters, viewed a private screening in Jerusalem of a 43 minute film, comprised entirely of footage from body cams worn by Hamas invaders on October 7. Some of those present would have been the employees of media that accepted as true the Hamas lie that Israel had fired the missile that landed in the car park of a Gaza City hospital on October 17. Some in the audience, composed mainly of war reporters, were visibly traumatised by what they saw. Here are some excerpts of comments on the film:

‘In one piece of footage, a Hamas terrorist [if the BBC will permit] screams “Allah Akbar” as he tries to behead a dead man with a shovel. Another clip shows terrorists [ditto] entering a house and talking to each other as a small girl aged about eight hides under a table. One of them proceeds to shoot her dead...A father and two sons (roughly seven and nine) running for their lives in their underwear into what appears to be a shelter with an open entrance. A Hamas terrorist [ditto] throws a hand grenade into the shelter, killing the father, and badly injuring the two boys, who run back into the house. Further footage shows Hamas terrorists [ditto] entering a house, where a small girl is seen hiding under a table. After some talking they shoot and kill the girl as she hides under the table. Hard to say how old she is but she looks like seven to nine years old...’

The following recorded exchanges were played to the UN Security Council on October 25, in reply to the comment made the previous day by its Brazilian Secretary General that the Hamas pogrom of October 7 ‘did not happen in a vacuum’.

‘Terrorist: Hi dad...I’m talking to you from Mefalsim. Open my WhatsApp and you will see all those [I] killed. Look how many I killed with my own hands! Your son killed Jews! It’s inside Mefalsim, dad.

Dad: May God protect you.

Terrorist: Dad, I’m talking to you from a Jewish woman’s phone. I killed her, and I killed her husband. Dad, ten with my own hands! Dad, open WhatsApp and see how many I killed Dad. Ten! Ten with my own hands. Their blood is on their hands! [And so said the Secretary General of the United Nations.] Put Mom on.

Mom: Oh my son, *God bless you!* [My emphasis]

Terrorist: I swear ten with my own hands, mother. I killed ten with my own hands.’

There is no other animal species on our planet that behaves remotely like the sadistic pogromists of Hamas, the heroes of the Corbynista left. And it requires a

religion, the one, not co-incidentally, admired by Corbyn himself, to infuse with the necessary loathing of the Jews the one species that does.

On October 24, 2023, Ivy League University of Berkely endorsed the following Email, sent to students by one of its teaching staff, Victoria Huynh:

‘Hi everyone

We are offering a field trip and/or field trip opportunity.

1) Students can attend the national student walkout tomorrow against the settler/colonial occupation of Gaza [‘occupied’ by Hamas since 2006] (info attached below) OR

2) Students can watch a short documentary on Palestine and call/email your local California representative using this linktree. Doing so will count either count as a field trip or an extra five points on the field category of your grade. Section 101/102 students can email me...For sections 101/102 we will spend some time talking about Palestine’s history in relation to class concepts like colonialism, imperialism and Third World Solidarity.’ [But not the ‘class concept’ of the two Hamas billionaires, Muza Abu Marzuk, \$2.3 billion, and Khaled Mashaal, \$2.6 billion, who rule Gaza from their five star hotel in Qatar.]

This war, like that of Ukraine’s against Russia, is one of Western civilisation against Eastern barbarism, and of the twenty first century against the Dark Ages. And, as such, it must end, not like the First World War, but the Second, with Israel’s enemies crushed, and their leaders brought to trial for genocide. Netanyahu too should stand trial as a politician who made his career as Israel’s staunchest defender against Palestinian terrorism, but when the time came to act on that claim, left his country totally unprepared. In a poll conducted days after the invasion, 86% of respondents agreed that he had.

